

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ಸುಬ್ರಹ್ಮಣ್ಯ.—ನನ್ನ ಗಮನಕ್ಕೆ ಬಂದಿಲ್ಲ. ಆ ಉತ್ತರವನ್ನು ಸರಕಾರದವರು ಈಗ ವಿಮರ್ಶೆ ಮಾಡುತ್ತಿದ್ದಾರೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ಕೆ. ನಾಗರಾ.—ಬೆಳಗಾಂ ಮುನಿಸಿಪಾಲಿಟಿಯವರು ಉತ್ತರ ಕಳುಹಿಸಿದ್ದು ಯಾವ ತಾರೀಖು?

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ಸುಬ್ರಹ್ಮಣ್ಯ.—ತಾರೀಖು ಯಾವುದು ಎಂಬುದಕ್ಕೆ ವಿವರ ಈಗ ನನ್ನ ಹತ್ತಿರವಿಲ್ಲ. ಹೈ ಕೋರ್ಟಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಒಂದು ರೀಟ್ ಅರ್ಜಿ ಹಾಕಿದ್ದರು. ಹೈ ಕೋರ್ಟಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಅದು ತೀರ್ಮಾನವಾದನಂತರ ಉತ್ತರ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿದ್ದಾರೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಸಿ. ಕೆ. ರಾಜಯ್ಯಶೆಟ್ಟಿ.—Show-cause Noticeನಲ್ಲಿರುವ ಅಂಶಗಳು ಯಾವ ಯಾವವು ಇವೆ, ಮತ್ತು ಅವರು ಕೊಟ್ಟಿರುವ ಜವಾಬಿನ ಅಂಶಗಳು ಏನೇನು ಇವೆ?

Sri T. SUBRAMANYA.—In public interest, I do not want to disclose the charges at this stage.

Sri C. J. MUCKANNAPPA.—What are the reasons for Government to make up their mind to take over the Municipality, Sir?

Sri T. SUBRAMANYA.—We have not made up our minds as yet.

Sri G. VENKATAI GOWDA.—What are the reasons that prompted the Government to issue show cause notice?

Sri T. SUBRAMANYA.—I am sorry, I cannot disclose the reasons. But whenever a Municipality cannot discharge its duties or transgresses its limits, the Government has power to issue a show-cause notice and take action.

Sri J. B. MALLARADHYA.—Sir, why should the issue of a show-cause notice be confidential. The charges may be confidential. But I make a distinction between the charges and show-cause notice. I want to know the details of the show-cause notice?

Sri T. SUBRAMANYA.—Sir, I can reply my Hon'ble friend. The show-cause notice is simply this:

"The following charges are framed against you. Show cause

why your municipality should not be superseded."

(Laughter)

Mr. SPEAKER.—Question-time is over.

QUESTION FOR ANSWER ON THE DAY

(but not taken up)

Construction of Linganamakki Dam

*Q.—43. Sri V. SRINIVAS SHETTY (Coondapur).—

Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(a) whether it is a fact that the construction of the entire Linganamakki dam has been entrusted to a Bombay engineering firm at 40 per cent more than the revised estimates;

(b) the compelling reasons which forced them to accept this tender;

(c) what will be the approximate loss to the Government by the acceptance of this tender?

A.—Sri H. M. CHANNABASAPPA (Minister for Public Works and Electricity).—

(a) No.

(b) and (c) Does not arise in view of answer to (a).

Member Sworn.

Sri K. DOOMAPPA (Surathkal) took the oath of Allegiance.

PAPERS LAID ON THE TABLE.

Statement of Assented Bills.

SECRETARY.—Sir, I beg to lay on the Table a statement of Bills assented to by the Governor or the President as the case may be, subsequent to the report made during the previous Session.

Statement showing the Bills assented to by the Governor or the President.

<i>Sl No.</i>	<i>Name of Bill</i>	<i>Date of Assent</i>	<i>Assented by</i>
1	The Mysore Appropriation, Bill, 1959 ...	30-3-1959	Governor
2	The Mysore Appropriation (No. 2) Bill, 1959 ...	31-3-1959	do
3	The Bombay Local Boards (Mysore Amendment) Bill, 1959 ...	18-5-1959	do
4	The Madras District Boards (Mysore Amendment) Bill, 1959 ...	18-5-1959	do
5	The Mysore Tenancy Laws (Amendment) Bill, 1959. ...	1-6-1959	President
6	The Mysore Slum Areas (Improvement and Clearance) Bill, 1958 ...	9-6-1959	do
7	The Mysore Rent Control Laws Continuance Bill, 1959 ...	9-6-1959	do
8	The Mysore Village Panchayats and Local Boards Bill, 1959 ...	16-7-1959	do

Notifications under the various Acts.

Sri B. D. JATTI (Chief Minister).—I beg to re-lay on the Table the following Notifications:—

- (i) Notification No. HD 104 MVT, dated 21st February, 1959 ;
- (ii) Notification No. HD 21 TMT 59, dated 24th February, 1959 ;
- (iii) Notification No. HD 70 MVT, dated 13th March, 1959 ;

issued under sub-section (1) of Section 16 of the Mysore Motor Vehicles Taxation Act, 1957.

Sri B. D. JATTI (Chief Minister).—I beg to lay on the Table:—

1. The report on the working of the Mysore Public Service Commission for the year 1956-57 ;

2. Amendments to the Mysore Ministers Medical Attendance Rules, 1958 issued under Section 15 of the Mysore Ministers Salaries and Allowances Act, 1956 ;

3. Notification No. HD 128 (2) MVT 57, dated 28th March, 1959 ;

4. Notification No. HD 166 MVT 58, dated 31st March, 1959 ;

5. Notification No. HD 21 MVT 57, dated 12th May, 1959 ;

6. Notification No. HD 60 TMT 59, dated 29th May, 1959 ;

7. Notification No. HD 107 MVT 58, dated 2nd June 1959 ;

8. Notification No. HD 175 MVT 58, dated 2nd June, 1959 ; issued under Section 16 of the Mysore Motor Vehicles Taxation Act, 1957.

Sri T. SUBRAMANYA (Minister for Law, Labour and Local Self-Government).—I beg to re-lay on the Table:—

- (i) Amendments to the Mysore Legislature (Salaries of Members) Rules, 1956 ;
- (ii) The Mysore Legislature (Presiding Officers) Medical Attendance Rules, 1959 ;

in pursuance of Section 15 (2) of the Mysore Legislature Salaries Act, 1956.

Sri T. SUBRAMANYA (Minister for Law, Labour and Local Self-Government).—I beg to lay on the Table:—

- (i) Amendments to the Industrial Disputes (Mysore) Rules, 1957 issued under Section 38 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1948 ;

- (ii) Amendments to the Mysore Legislature Members (Medical Attendance) Rules, 1958 ;

- (iii) Amendments to the Mysore Legislature (Salaries of Members) Rules, 1956 ;

issued under Section 15 of the Mysore Legislature Salaries Act, 1956.

Sri KADIDAL MANJAPPA (Minister for Revenue).—I beg to re-lay on the Table:—

(i) Notification No. RD 6 (a) SPS 58, dated 30th March, 1959;

(ii) Notification No. RST 16 RST 59, dated 31st March, 1959;

(iii) Notification No. RD 17 RST 59, dated 31st March, 1959;

(iv) Notification No. RD 25 RST 59, dated 31st March, 1959;

issued under clause (a) of sub-section (1) of Section (2) of the Mysore Stamp Act, 1957.

Sri N. RACHIAH (Minister for Agriculture, Excise and Social Welfare).—I beg to lay on the Table:—

The Mysore Prohibition (Extension to the Hyderabad Area) Ordinance, 1959, the Mysore Ordinance No. 1 of 1959 promulgated by the Government on 12th June 1959.

Sri M. N. NAGHNOOR (Deputy Minister for Public Works).—I beg to lay on the Table:—

The Mysore Electricity (Taxation on Consumption) Ordinance, 1959, the Mysore Ordinance No. 2 of 1959.

The Mysore Electricity (Taxation on Consumption) Rules, 1959 issued under Section 10 of the Mysore Electricity (Taxation on Consumption) Ordinance, 1959.

ELEVENTH REPORT OF THE BUSINESS ADVISORY COMMITTEE.

Mr. SPEAKER.—I present the eleventh Report of the Business Advisory Committee.

Sri L. H. THIMMA BOVI (Deputy Speaker).—Sir, I move:

“That the eleventh Report of the Business Advisory Committee be taken into consideration.”

Mr. SPEAKER.—Motion moved:

“That the eleventh Report of the Business Advisory Committee be taken into consideration.”

Sri R. CHENNIGARAMIAH (Madhugiri).—Sir, I have got a few suggestions. The Business Advisory Committee have suggested eight days:

Without any disrespect to the Business Advisory Committee, I would like to suggest that it should be submitted for reconsideration so that they can provide for more days to consider this subject. At the rate of $3\frac{1}{2}$ hours per day, it may be possible for about six members to speak each day, and it will accommodate only 48 members. In a big House like this, eight days for the consideration of a subject of such great importance, is insufficient. I therefore suggest that it may be reconsidered.

Sri M. RAMAPPA (Harihar).—I find that no time has been allotted for non-official business, Sir.

Mr. SPEAKER.—That is a different subject. This motion refers to the business Advisory Committee Report.

Sri J. B. MALLARADHYA (Nanjangud).—I should like to know, with reference to what data the Business Advisory Committee decided eight days as sufficient for the discussion of this Bill.

Mr. SPEAKER.—They might have felt that it was sufficient.

Sri J. B. MALLARADHYA.—Sir, I respectfully submit that feeling is one thing and doing is another.

Mr. SPEAKER.—The report in this respect has been discussed by this House for about 10 days or more. So, the Business Advisory Committee felt that 8 days would be enough to consider the Bill. At the same time, this will be referred to a Joint Select Committee; this is only a consideration stage; we are not passing the Bill. It is for the consideration stage that 8 days have been allotted. If the Hon'ble Members think that this time is not sufficient, then they may oppose it; they are at liberty to do so. After all, the Report of the Business Advisory Committee is a Report which Hon'ble Members will have to accept in this House.

Dr. R. NAGAN GOWDA (Hospet).—Sir, I have a suggestion to make. Of course, we have discussed this for eight or ten days during the last session and eight days have now been allotted. I would suggest that the consideration could be taken up as it is now; after five or six days, if it is found necessary to extend the time, then we can think